## Puget Sound TRT Fourth meeting—Tuesday, July 25, 2000 NWFSC--370W DRAFT Agenda

9:00 am	Introduction to Docushare (a web-based document sharing software) (McElhany)
9:30	Reports from working groups Population identification group (Ruckelshaus et al.)
10:30	BREAK
10:45	Population ID, cont'd
11:15	Diversity group (Currens et al.)
noon	LUNCH (informal discussion with RSRP)
1:15 pm	Abundance/productivity group (Rawson et al.)
2:30	BREAK
2:45	Habitat group (Fuerstenburg et al.)
3:30	Business items: early actions, next working groups, shared strategy, sockeye and chum biologists integrated into working groups, field trips (All)
4:30 pm	ADJOURN

## -----

## Homework for July 25 TRT meeting.

Based on our discussions at the last meeting, these are the key items that I think we agreed we need to make progress on before the July 25 meeting (**these are copied from an email I sent soon after the June 7 meeting**). Please plan (as usual) to provide written material (documentation, outline, data, etc.) to the TRT before the meeting so that we can review supporting information before presentations on the 25<sup>th</sup>.

Note: when identifying timelines for workgroup products, keep in mind the overall TRT timeline we tentatively identified last meeting (e.g., population viability analyses should be mostly done by early 2001).

By the next TRT meeting on July 25th, each working group needs to provide the TRT with a list of products and timelines for those products. The timeline should be set considering the end product(s) into which each interim product needs to fit (e.g., if the habitat capacity estimates are needed by Dec. 2000, the habitat assessments upon which the capacity estimates are based need to be done by Oct. 2000, or sometime before Dec.!) The products should be briefly described and the working groups should state clearly what form(s) they will take (e.g., how will diversity be expressed for each population?) Some working groups have product deadlines coming up--so as always, include written information on products or timelines to the TRT at least a few days before each meeting.

## Specific tasks identified at last meeting:

- --pop ID wg: further develop and describe conceptual approach to data interpretation, finish habitat evaluations; identify populations by the end of July
- --A & P wg: go through specific example of calculations used in estimating abundance and productivity, finalize definitions/terminology
- --diversity wg: definitions of characteristics describing "diversity", list of products, timelines.
- --habitat wg: definitions of habitat capacity, how estimated; list of products, timelines. The habitat group also should expand on our discussion at the last meeting of whether habitat-based viability goals should be expressed early (e.g., in early 2001), before the list of ESU viability scenario(s) has been shortened. In other words, we discussed adding a "d" to task #3 on our timeline--when we identify viable population characteristics for abundance/productivity, diversity and spatial structure, should we also identify habitat conditions that would support viable population characteristics? All agreed that we need to do this eventually--the question is whether we should conduct such a time consuming step for many scenarios that would not ever be considered in the final list of viable ESU options.